arXiv:1407.4861v3 [math.AP] 6 Sep 2015

FELLER EVOLUTION FAMILIES AND PARABOLIC EQUATIONS WITH FORM-BOUNDED VECTOR FIELDS

DAMIR KINZEBULATOV

Abstract. We show that the weak solutions of parabolic equation $\partial_t u - \Delta u + b(t,x)\cdot\nabla u = 0$, $(t,x)\in(0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d$, $d\geqslant 3$, for $b(t,x)$ in a wide class of time-dependent vector fields capturing critical singularities both in time and in spatial variables, constitute a Feller evolution family and, thus, determine a Feller process. Our proof uses a Moser-type iterative procedure and an a priori estimate on the L^p-norm of the gradient of solution in terms of the L^q-norm of the gradient of initial function.

1. Introduction and results

1.1. Consider Cauchy problem ($s\geqslant 0$)

$$(\partial_t - \Delta - b(t,x)\cdot\nabla)u = 0, \qquad (t,x)\in(s,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d, \tag{1}$$

$$u(+s,s,\cdot) = f(\cdot), \tag{2}$$

where $d\geqslant 3$, $b\in L^1_{\rm loc}([0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d,\mathbb{R}^d)$, $f\in L^2_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

We prove that for $b(\cdot,\cdot)$ in a wide class of time-dependent vector fields having critical singularities both in time and spatial variables, the unique weak solution of (1), (2) is given by a Feller evolution family of bounded linear operators $(U(t,s))_{0\leqslant s\leqslant t<\infty}\subset\mathcal{L}\big(C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)\big)$, once the choice of the initial function f is restricted to space $C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d):=\{f\in C(\mathbb{R}^d):\lim_{x\to\infty}f(x)=0\}$ (endowed with sup-norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$):

(**E1**) $U(s,s)=\mathrm{Id}$, $U(t,s)=U(t,r)U(r,s)$ for all $0\leqslant s\leqslant r\leqslant t$,

(**E2**) mapping $(t,s)\mapsto U(t,s)$ is strongly continuous in $C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

(**E3**) operators $U(t,s)$ are positivity-preserving and L^∞-contractive:

$$U(t,s)f\geqslant 0 \quad \text{if} \quad f\geqslant 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \|U(t,s)f\|_\infty\leqslant\|f\|_\infty, \quad 0\leqslant s\leqslant t,$$

(**E4**) function $u(t,s,\cdot):=U(t,s)f$ ($f\in C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$) is a weak solution of equation (1).

By the Riesz-Markov representation theorem (cf. [EG, Ch.1]) and [GvC, Theorem 2.22], given a $T>0$, the operators $V(\tau,\sigma):=U(T-\tau,T-\sigma)$ ($0\leqslant\tau\leqslant\sigma\leqslant T$) determine the (sub-Markov) transition probability function $p(\tau,x;\sigma,dy)$ of a Feller process (in particular, a strong Markov process X_t having cadlag trajectories), so that

$$V(\tau,\sigma)f=\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}p(\tau,x;\sigma,dy)f(y)=E_{\tau,x}[f(X_\sigma)], \quad f\in C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d);$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35K10, 60G12.

Key words and phrases. Parabolic equations, Feller processes, a priori estimates.

The author gratefully acknowledges support from NSERC Postdoctoral Fellowship.

X_t is related to the differential operator in (1) via (**E4**).

The problem of constructing a Feller process having infinitesimal generator $-\Delta + b(t,x)\cdot\nabla$ ("a diffusion with drift $b(\cdot,\cdot) : [0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$") attracted the interest of many researchers (see e.g. [BKR, BG, GvC] and references therein), motivated by applications, as well as the search for the maximal general class of drifts b such that the process exists. It has been known for a long time that the process exists for any drift b satisfying

$$|b(\cdot,\cdot)| \in L^q\big([0,\infty), L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)+L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)\big), \quad \frac{d}{p}+\frac{2}{q}<1,$$

see [KR] (where <1 can not be replaced with $<1+\delta$, for any $\delta>0$), and, more generally, for any b in the Kato class $\mathbf{K}^{d+1}$ (see definition in Appendix A); the Kato class has been recognized as the general class responsible for the existence of the Gaussian upper and lower bounds on the fundamental solution of $-\Delta+b(t,x)\cdot\nabla$ [Se2, Z] which, in turn, yield the existence of the process.

In the present paper, we construct a Feller process associated with $-\Delta+b(t,x)\cdot\nabla$ for b in the class $\mathbf{F}_\beta$ of form-bounded vector fields, provided that the form-bound $\beta>0$ is sufficiently small (determined by the dimension d), see Theorem 1 below.

Definition 1. The vector field $b(\cdot,\cdot)$ is said to belong to class $\mathbf{F}_\beta$ if $b\in L^2_{\rm loc}\big([0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d,\mathbb{R}^d\big)$ and there exist $\beta<\infty$ and $g=g_\beta\in L^1_{\rm loc}([0,\infty))$ such that

$$\int_0^\infty \|b(t,\cdot)\varphi(t,\cdot)\|_2^2 dt \leqslant \beta\int_0^\infty \|\nabla\varphi(t,\cdot)\|_2^2 dt+\int_0^\infty g(t)\|\varphi(t,\cdot)\|_2^2 dt$$

for all $\varphi\in C_0^\infty([0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d)$. $\|\cdot\|_2$ is the norm in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

It is clear that $b\in\mathbf{F}_\beta \Leftrightarrow cb\in\mathbf{F}_{c^2\beta}$, $c\in\mathbb{R}$, $c\neq 0$.

In particular, class $\mathbf{F}_\beta$ contains vector field

$$b(t,x)=a_1 x|x-x_0|^{-1}+a_2|t-t_0|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\big(\log(e+|t-t_0|^{-1})\big)^{-\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}}e, \quad \varepsilon>0, \quad (t,x)\in[0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d, \ (3)$$

where $e=(1,\dots,1)\in\mathbb{R}^d$, $a_1,a_2\in\mathbb{R}$, with $\beta:=a_1^2(2/(d-2))^2$, see Example 1 below. The singularity of the vector field (3) is of critical order, i.e. it is sensitive to multiplication by a constant. More precisely, a large β destroys uniqueness of solution of Cauchy problem (1), (2), cf. Example 1.2 below, see also [BFGM, Example 69]; the change of the value of β affects regularity properties of solution of (1), (2), cf. Lemma 3 below.

The class $\mathbf{F}_\beta$ contains sums of vector fields of the form (3) with the vector fields in Ladyzhenskaya-Prodi-Serrin class (cf. [BFGM]),

$$|b(\cdot,\cdot)| \in L^q\big([0,\infty), L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)+L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)\big), \quad \frac{d}{p}+\frac{2}{q}\leqslant 1,$$

a proper subclass of $\mathbf{F}_0:=\bigcap_{\beta>0}\mathbf{F}_\beta$, see Example 1 below. The singularities of the vector fields in $\mathbf{F}_0$ are of sub-critical order. We note that $\mathbf{K}^{d+1}\not\subset\mathbf{F}_\beta$ (note also that $L^d(\mathbb{R}^d)\not\subset\mathbf{K}^{d+1}$).

The example (3) shows that the Gaussian bounds on the fundamental solution of $-\Delta+b(t,x)\cdot\nabla$, $b\in\mathbf{F}_\beta$, are, in general, not valid, and, thus, ultimately are not related to the existence of the Feller process.

The interest to the regularity theory of $-\Delta+b(t,x)\cdot\nabla$, with b having strong singularities both in time and in spatial variables, also comes from the applications to non-linear equations involving

gradient structures, including Navier-Stokes equations, which can be regarded as systems of parabolic equations with very singular lower order terms, cf. [Z2].

Example 1. (1) If $|b| \in L^{d,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d) + L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then $b = b(x)$ is in $\mathbf{F}_\beta$ with β depending on the weak norm of $|b|$ (using the Strichartz inequality with sharp constants [KPS]).

In particular, $b(x) = x|x|^{-2}$ belongs to $\mathbf{F}_\beta$ with $\beta = (2/(d-2))^2$, $g \equiv 0$ (Hardy inequality).

More generally, any vector field $b(t,x)$ such that for some c_1, $c_2 > 0$

$$|b(t,x)|^2 \leqslant c_1|x-x_0|^{-2} + c_2|t-t_0|^{-1}\big(\log(e+|t-t_0|^{-1})\big)^{-1-\varepsilon}, \quad \varepsilon > 0, \quad (t,x) \in [0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d,$$

belongs to class $\mathbf{F}_\beta$ with $\beta = c_1\,(2/(d-2))^2$.

(2) Let $|b| \in L^q([0,\infty), L^p(\mathbb{R}^d) + L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))$, $2 \leqslant p,q \leqslant \infty$, $\frac{d}{p} + \frac{2}{q} \leqslant 1$. Then $b \in \mathbf{F}_0$ (by Hölder inequality and the Sobolev embedding theorem).

(3) If $x = (y,z)$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $z \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $n+m = d$, then $b(x) = C_1 y|y|^{-2} + C_2 z|z|^{-2}$ is in $\mathbf{F}_\beta$ with appropriate β, $g \equiv 0$.

(4) $b(x) = C(\mathbf{1}_{1+\delta} - \mathbf{1}_{1-\delta})e\,(|x|-1)^{-a}$ ($e \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $0 < \delta < 1$, $0 < a < \frac{1}{2}$) is in $\mathbf{F}_\beta$ with appropriate β, where $\mathbf{1}_{1\pm\delta}$ is the characteristic function of the open ball centered at the origin and having radius $1 \pm \delta$.

Some other examples are given by improved Hardy inequalities, see [GM].

We will need

Definition 2. A function $u \in L^2_{\mathrm{loc}}((s,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d)$ is said to be a weak solution of equation (1) if ∇u (understood in the sense of distributions) is in $L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}((s,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$, $b\cdot\nabla u \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}((s,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d)$, and

$$\int_0^\infty \langle u, \partial_t\psi\rangle dt - \int_0^\infty \langle u, \Delta\psi\rangle dt - \int_0^\infty \langle b\cdot\nabla u, \psi\rangle dt = 0 \tag{4}$$

for all $\psi \in C_0^\infty((s,\infty)\times\mathbb{R})$, where

$$\big\langle h\,,\, g\big\rangle = \big\langle hg\big\rangle := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h(x)g(x)dx$$

is the inner product in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Definition 3. A weak solution of (1) is said to be a weak solution to Cauchy problem (1), (2) if $\lim_{t\to+s}\langle u(t,s,\cdot),\xi\rangle = \langle f,\xi\rangle$ for all $\xi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ having compact support.

Set $\omega_q := \frac{q-1}{2q-3}$.

Theorem 1 (Main result)**.** *Suppose a vector field $b(\cdot,\cdot)$ belongs to class $\mathbf{F}_\beta$. If $\beta < \frac{4}{d^2}\omega_d^2$, then there exists a Feller evolution family $(U(t,s))_{0\leqslant s\leqslant t} \subset \mathcal{L}\big(C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)\big)$ that produces the weak solution to Cauchy problem* (1), (2)*, i.e.* (**E1**)–(**E4**) *hold true.*

Theorem 1 in the stationary case and under the extra assumption $b(\cdot) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) + L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is due to [KS]. The extra assumption arises there in the verification that the constructed limit of approximating semigroups is strongly continuous in $C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We modify their iterative procedure in such a way that it automatically yields strong continuity.

Let us also note that, in the assumptions of Theorem 1, given $p > (1-\sqrt{\beta/4})^{-1}$, the formula

$$U_p(t,s) := \Big(U(t,s)|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)\cap C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)}\Big)^{\mathrm{clos}}_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)\to L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)},$$

determines a (strongly continuous) evolution family in $\mathcal{L}\big(L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)\big)$, cf. [Se]. The proof is obtained from Theorem 1, estimate $\|u(t,s,\cdot)\|_p \leqslant C_T\|f\|_p$, $0 \leqslant s \leqslant t \leqslant T$ (see (13) below) and the Dominated Convergence Theorem [EG, Ch.1].

1.2. **Example.** If b belongs to $\mathbf{F}_\beta$ with $\beta < 1$, then a weak solution of Cauchy problem (1), (2) with initial data $f \in C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is unique in $L^\infty([0,T], C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))$; uniqueness of solution fails if β is large: consider equation (1) with

$$b(t,x) = 2\kappa\alpha(-\ln t)^{\alpha-1}\frac{x}{|x|^2}, \quad 0 < t < 1, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where $\alpha \geqslant 1$, $\kappa > 0$. Then

$$u(t,x) = (4\pi t)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \exp\left(-\kappa(-\ln t)^\alpha - \frac{|x|^2}{4t}\right)$$

is a weak solution of (1) in $C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

If $\alpha = 1$, $\kappa > \frac{d}{2}$, then $\lim_{t\to 0}\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d}|u(t,x)| = 0$, i.e. there exist multiple solutions to Cauchy problem (1), (2) with initial data $C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We note that condition $\mathbf{F}_\beta$ is satisfied for such b only with $\beta > 4d^2/(d-2)^2$ (using Hardy inequality).

If $\alpha > 1$, $\kappa > 0$, then solution of Cauchy problem for (1), (2) with initial data $C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is again not unique: $\lim_{t\to 0}\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d}|u(t,x)| = 0$. Therefore, the singularity of vector field $c\frac{x}{|x|^2}$ can not be strengthened in the time variable even if $c > 0$ is small (condition $\mathbf{F}_\beta$ is not satisfied for any $c \neq 0$).

1.3. **Proof of Theorem 1: a parabolic variant of the iterative procedure of Kovalenko-Semenov.** We fix $T > 0$, and denote

$$D_T := \{(s,t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : 0 \leqslant s \leqslant t \leqslant T\}.$$

We will need a regular approximation of b: vector fields $\{b_m\}_{m=1}^\infty \subset C_0^\infty([0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$ that satisfy

($\mathbf{C_1}$) $b_m \to b$ in $L^2_{\rm loc}([0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$,

($\mathbf{C_2}$) for all $\varphi \in C_0^\infty([0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$\int_0^\infty \|b_m(t,\cdot)\varphi(t,\cdot)\|_2^2 dt \leqslant \left(\beta + \frac{1}{m}\right)\int_0^\infty \|\nabla\varphi(t,\cdot)\|_2^2 dt + \int_0^\infty g(t)\|\varphi(t,\cdot)\|_2^2 dt.$$

(Such b_m's can be constructed by formula $b_m := \eta_m * \mathbf{1}_m b$, where $\mathbf{1}_m$ is the characteristic function of set $\{(t,x) \in \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^d : |b(t,x)| \leqslant m, |x| \leqslant m, 0 \leqslant |t| \leqslant m\}$, $*$ is the convolution on $\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^d$, and $\{\eta_m\} \subset C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^d)$ is an appropriate family of mollifiers.)

The construction of the Feller evolution family goes as follows. Let $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The unique (classical) solution of Cauchy problem

$$(\partial_t - \Delta - b_m(t,x)\cdot\nabla)u = 0, \quad u(+s,s,\cdot) = f \tag{5}$$

is given by a Feller evolution family $(U_m(t,s))_{0\leqslant s\leqslant t} \subset \mathcal{L}(C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))$; in particular,

$$\|U_m f\|_{L^\infty(D_T, C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))} \leqslant \|f\|_\infty \tag{6}$$

We define

$$Uf := \lim_{m\to\infty} U_m f \quad \text{in} \quad L^\infty\big(D_T, C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)\big) \tag{7}$$

Assuming that the convergence in (7) has been established, we can use the fact that $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is dense in $C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, so that in view of (6) we can extend $(U(t,s))_{0\leqslant s\leqslant t}$ to a strongly continuous family of bounded linear operators in $\mathcal{L}\big(C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)\big)$, which we denote again by $(U(t,s))_{0\leqslant s\leqslant t}$. That $(U(t,s))_{0\leqslant s\leqslant t}$ is the required Feller evolution family is the content of the following

Proposition 2. *$(U(t,s))_{0\leqslant s\leqslant t}$ defined by (7) satisfies (**E1**)-(**E4**).*

The main difficulty is in establishing the convergence in (7). The proof of the convergence uses a parabolic variant of the iterative procedure of Kovalenko-Semenov [KS]. To shorten notation, set

$$u_m(t,s,\cdot) = U_m(t,s)f(\cdot), \qquad f\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

In the next two lemmas we integrate in t, with s fixed.

Lemma 3 (The a priori estimate)**.** *Suppose b is in $\mathbf{F}_\beta$ with $\beta < \frac{4}{q^2}\omega_q^2$, $q\geqslant 2$. Let $0\leqslant\alpha\leqslant 1$. Then, for all $(s,\tau)\in D_T$,*

$$\|\nabla u_m(\cdot,s,\cdot)\|_{L^{\frac{q}{1-\alpha}}([s,\tau],L^{\frac{qd}{d-2+2\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^d))} \leqslant C\|\nabla f\|_q,$$

where constant $C=C(q,T,\alpha)<\infty$, does not depend on $(s,\tau)\in D_T$ or m

The a priori estimate of Lemma 3 is interesting in its own right in the context of the regularity theory of linear, as well as nonlinear PDEs, see e.g. [LSS] and references therein

Lemma 4 (The iteration inequality)**.** *Suppose b satisfies $\mathbf{F}_\beta$ with $\beta<4$. Let $0\leqslant\alpha\leqslant 1$. There exist $k=k(\beta)>1$ and a m_0 such that for all $m,n\geqslant m_0$, for all $p\geqslant p_0>\frac{2}{2-\sqrt{\beta}}$, and all $0\leqslant s\leqslant T$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}&\|u_m-u_n\|_{L^{\frac{p}{1-\alpha}}([s,T],L^{\frac{pd}{d-2+2\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^d))}\\ &\qquad\leqslant\left(C_0\left(\beta+\frac{1}{m_0}\right)\|\nabla u_m\|^2_{L^{2\lambda'}([s,T],L^{2\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d))}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}(p^{2k})^{\frac{1}{p}}\|u_m-u_n\|^{1-\frac{2}{p}}_{L^{(p-2)\lambda}([s,T],L^{(p-2)\sigma'}(\mathbb{R}^d))},\end{aligned}\tag{8}$$

for any σ' such that $1<\sigma'<\frac{d}{d-2+2\alpha}$, where $\frac{1}{\sigma}+\frac{1}{\sigma'}=1$, and $\frac{1/(1-\alpha)}{\lambda}=\frac{d/(d-2+2\alpha)}{\sigma'}$, $\frac{1}{\lambda}+\frac{1}{\lambda'}=1$, for a constant $C_0=C_0(T)<\infty$ independent of m or s.

Lemma 3 (with $\alpha=\frac{2}{d+2}$) and Lemma 4 are combined to yield

Corollary 5. *In the assumptions of Theorem 1, for any $p_0>\frac{2}{2-\sqrt{\beta}}$ there exist constants $B<\infty$ and $\gamma:=\big(1-\frac{\sigma'(d-2)}{d}\big)\big(1-\frac{\sigma'(d-2)}{d}+\frac{2\sigma'}{p_0}\big)^{-1}>0$ independent of m,n such that*

$$\|u_n-u_m\|_{L^\infty(D_T\times\mathbb{R}^d)}\leqslant B\sup_{0\leqslant s\leqslant T}\|u_n-u_m\|^\gamma_{L^{p_0\lambda}([s,T],L^{p_0}(\mathbb{R}^d))}\qquad\text{for all } n,m.\tag{9}$$

Lemma 6. *If $b\in\mathbf{F}_\beta$ with $\beta<1$. Then the sequence $\{u_m\}$ from Corollary 5 is fundamental in $L^\infty(D_T,L^r(\mathbb{R}^d))$, $r\geqslant 2$.*

Now we are in a position to prove convergence in (7). Fix $f\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and choose $r=2$ in Lemma 6. Then $r>\frac{2}{2-\sqrt{\beta}}$ since β is less than 1, and we can take $p_0:=r$ in Corollary 5. Now, Corollary 5 and Lemma 6 imply that the sequence $\{u_m\}$ is fundamental in $L^\infty(D_T,C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))$, and hence $(U(t,s))_{0\leqslant s\leqslant t}$ in (7) is well defined. The proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

REMARK 1. Note that the constraint on β in Theorem 1 (in addition to $\beta < 1$) comes solely from Lemma 3.

2. PROOFS

Preliminaries. 1. It will be convenient to prove Lemmas 3-6, Corollary 5 for solutions of Cauchy problem

$$(\partial_t - \Delta - b_m(t,x)\cdot\nabla - h'(t))v = 0, \quad v(+s,s,\cdot) = f \tag{10}$$

where a locally absolutely continuous function $h : [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$, $h(0) = 0$, will be the subject of our choice; since the (unique) solution v_m of (10) is related to the solution u_m of (5) via identity

$$v_m(t,s,x) = u_m(t,s,x)e^{h(t)-h(s)}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (t,s) \in D_T = \{(s,t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : 0 \leqslant s \leqslant t \leqslant T\} \tag{11}$$

the assertions of Lemmas 3-6 and Corollary 5 for u_m will follow.

Also, it is immediate from (6) and (11) that

$$\|v_m\|_{L^\infty(D_T, C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))} \leqslant c\|f\|_\infty \tag{12}$$

for some $c = c(T,h) < \infty$ independent of m.

2. We will use the following fact. Suppose that b belongs to $\mathbf{F}_\beta$ with $\beta < 1$. If $p > (1-\sqrt{\beta/4})^{-1}$, $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then the (unique) weak solution of (1) in $L^p([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that

$$\lim_{t\to+s} \langle u(t,s,\cdot), \xi\rangle = \langle f, \xi\rangle$$

for all $\xi \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ having compact support, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$, satisfies

$$\|u(\tau,s,\cdot)\|_p \leqslant C\|f\|_p, \quad (s,\tau) \in D_T, \tag{13}$$

where $C = C(T) < \infty$ (see Appendix B for the proof).

2.1. **Proof of Lemma 3.** Let v_m be the (classical) solution of Cauchy problem (10) with $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. In view of (11), it suffices to prove that, for any $(s,\tau) \in D_T$,

$$\|\nabla v_m(\cdot,s,\cdot)\|_{L^{\frac{q}{1-\alpha}}([s,\tau], L^{\frac{qd}{d-2+2\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^d))} \leqslant \hat{C}\|\nabla f\|_q \qquad (\Rightarrow \text{Lemma 3}) \tag{14}$$

for some $\hat{C} = \hat{C}(q,T,\alpha) < \infty$ independent of m and (s,τ).

In what follows, we omit index m wherever possible: $v = v_m$. Denote $w = \nabla v$, $w_r = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_r} v$, $1 \leqslant r \leqslant d$. Let $q \geqslant 2$. Define

$$\varphi_r := -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_r}\left(w_r|w|^{q-2}\right), \quad 1 \leqslant r \leqslant d,$$

$$I_q = \int_s^\tau \left\langle |w|^{q-2}\sum_{r=1}^d |\nabla w_r|^2 \right\rangle dt \geqslant 0, \quad J_q = \int_s^\tau \langle |w|^{q-2}|\nabla|w||^2\rangle dt \geqslant 0.$$

Now, we are going to "differentiate the equation without differentiating its coefficients", for we multiply the equation in (10) by the 'test function' φ_r, integrate in t and x, and then sum over r to

get

$$S := \sum_{r=1}^{d} \int_s^\tau \left\langle \varphi_r, \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right\rangle dt$$
$$= \sum_{r=1}^{d} \int_s^\tau \langle \varphi_r, \Delta v \rangle dt + \sum_{r=1}^{d} \int_s^\tau \langle \varphi_r, b_m w \rangle dt + \sum_{r=1}^{d} \int_s^\tau \langle \varphi_r, h'(t) v \rangle dt =: S_1 + S_2 + S_3.$$

We can re-write

$$S = \frac{1}{q} \int_s^\tau \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \langle |w|^q \rangle \, dt = \frac{1}{q} \langle |w(\tau, s, \cdot)|^q \rangle - \frac{1}{q} \langle |\nabla f(\cdot)|^q \rangle$$

(the fact that $w(s, s, \cdot) = \nabla f(\cdot)$ follows by differentiating in x_i, for each $1 \leq i \leq d$, the equation in (10) and the initial function f, solving the resulting Cauchy problem, and then integrating its solution in x_i to see that it is indeed the derivative of v in x_i). Further,

$$S_1 = -\sum_{r=1}^{d} \int_s^\tau \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x_r} \left(w_r |w|^{q-2} \right), \Delta v \right\rangle dt = -\sum_{r=1}^{d} \int_s^\tau \left\langle \nabla \left(w_r |w|^{q-2} \right), \nabla w_r \right\rangle dt$$
$$= -\int_s^\tau \left\langle |w|^{q-2} \sum_{r=1}^{d} |\nabla w_r|^2 \right\rangle dt - \frac{1}{2} \int_s^\tau \langle \nabla |w|^{q-2}, \nabla |w|^2 \rangle dt = -I_q - (q-2) J_q.$$

Next,

$$S_2 = -\int_s^\tau \langle |w|^{q-2} \Delta v, b_m \cdot w \rangle dt - \int_s^\tau \left\langle w \cdot \nabla |w|^{q-2}, b_m \cdot w \right\rangle dt =: W_1 + W_2.$$

Let us estimate W_1 and W_2 as follows. By elementary inequality $ab \leq \frac{\gamma}{4} a^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma} b^2$ ($\gamma > 0$), we have

$$|W_1| \leqslant \int_s^\tau \langle |w|^{\frac{q-2}{2}} |\Delta v| |w|^{\frac{q-2}{2}} |b_m| |w| \rangle dt \leqslant$$
$$\frac{\gamma}{4} \int_s^\tau \langle |w|^{q-2} |\Delta v|^2 \rangle dt + \frac{1}{\gamma} \int_s^\tau \left\langle \left(|b_m| |w|^{\frac{q}{2}} \right)^2 \right\rangle dt \quad \text{(by } (\mathbf{C_2})\text{)}$$
$$\leqslant \frac{\gamma}{4} \int_s^\tau \langle |w|^{q-2} |\Delta v|^2 \rangle dt + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left[(\beta + 1/m) \frac{q^2}{4} J_q + \int_s^\tau g(t) \langle |w|^q \rangle \right]$$

In turn, integrating by parts twice and using $ab \leq \kappa a^2 + \frac{1}{4\kappa} b^2$ ($\kappa > 0$), we obtain

$$\left(1 - \frac{q-2}{4\kappa} \right) \int_s^\tau \langle |w|^{q-2} |\Delta v|^2 \rangle dt \leqslant I_q + (q-2)(1+\kappa) J_q, \quad \kappa > \frac{q-2}{4},$$

so

$$|W_1| \leqslant \frac{\gamma}{4} \frac{4\kappa}{4\kappa - q + 2} \left(I_q + (q-2)(1+\kappa) J_q \right) + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left[(\beta + 1/m) \frac{q^2}{4} J_q + \int_s^\tau g(t) \langle |w|^q \rangle \right]$$

Next, using $ab \leq \eta a^2 + \frac{1}{4\eta} b^2$ $(\eta > 0)$, we obtain

$$|W_2| \leq (q-2) \int_s^\tau \langle |w|^{q-2} |\nabla |w|| |b_m| |w| \rangle dt = (q-2) \int_s^\tau \langle |w|^{\frac{q-2}{2}} |\nabla |w|| |b_m| |w|^{\frac{q}{2}} \rangle dt \leqslant$$
$$(q-2) \left[\eta \int_s^\tau \langle |w|^{q-2} |\nabla |w||^2 \rangle dt + \frac{1}{4\eta} \int_s^\tau \left\langle \left(|b_m| |w|^{\frac{q}{2}} \right)^2 \right\rangle dt \right] \leqslant \quad \text{(by } (\mathbf{C_2})\text{)}$$
$$(q-2) \left[\eta J_q + \frac{\beta + \frac{1}{m}}{4\eta} \frac{q^2}{4} J_q + \frac{1}{4\eta} \int_s^\tau g(t) \langle |w|^q \rangle dt \right].$$

Finally, integrating by parts, we see that $S_3 = \int_s^\tau h'(t) \langle |w|^q \rangle dt$. Thus, identity $S = S_1 + S_2 + S_3$ transforms into

$$\frac{1}{q} \langle |w(\tau, s, \cdot)|^q \rangle - \frac{1}{q} \langle |\nabla f|^q \rangle - \int_s^\tau h'(t) \langle |w|^q \rangle dt + I_q + (q-2) J_q = W_1 + W_2,$$

and, in view of the above estimates on $|W_1|$, $|W_2|$, implies

$$\frac{1}{q} \langle |w(\tau, s, \cdot)|^q \rangle + N\, I_q + M\, J_q \leqslant \frac{1}{q} \langle |\nabla f|^q \rangle + \int_s^\tau h'(t) \langle |w|^q \rangle dt + \left(\frac{q-2}{4\eta} + \frac{1}{\gamma} \right) \int_s^\tau g(t) \langle |w|^q \rangle dt, \quad (15)$$

where

$$N := 1 - \frac{\gamma}{4} \frac{4\kappa}{4\kappa - q + 2},$$

$$M := q - 2 - (q-2) \left(\eta + \frac{\beta + 1/m}{16\eta} q^2 \right) - \frac{\beta + 1/m}{\gamma} \frac{q^2}{4} - \frac{\gamma}{4} \frac{4\kappa}{4\kappa - q + 2} (q-2)(1+\kappa).$$

We select

$$\eta = \eta_* := q\sqrt{(\beta + 1/m)}/4, \quad \kappa = \kappa_* := \frac{q-1}{2}, \quad \gamma = \gamma_* := \frac{q\sqrt{\beta}}{q-1}.$$

Then $N = 1 - \sqrt{\beta}/2 > 0$, so, in view of the elementary inequality $I_q \geqslant J_q$, we have

$$N\, I_q + M\, J_q \geqslant \left(q - 1 - \frac{q\sqrt{\beta}}{2} (2q-3) \right) J_q, \quad \text{where } q - 1 - \frac{q\sqrt{\beta}}{2}(2q-3) > 0$$

since by our assumption $\sqrt{\beta} < \frac{2}{q} \left(\frac{q-1}{2q-3} \right)$. Finally, we select

$$h'(t) < -\left((q-2)/4\eta_* + 1/\gamma_* \right) g(t).$$

Then (15) yields

$$\|\nabla v_m(\tau, s, \cdot)\|_q \leqslant \|\nabla f\|_q, \quad (s, \tau) \in D_T. \quad (16)$$

Next, we apply the Sobolev embedding theorem to $\frac{q^2}{4} J_q$ $(= \int_s^\tau \langle |\nabla |w|^{\frac{q}{2}}|^2 \rangle dt)$ to get

$$\frac{q^2}{4} J_q \geqslant C_0 \int_s^\tau \langle |w|^{\frac{qd}{d-2}} \rangle^{\frac{d-2}{d}} dt.$$

Substituting the latter in (15), we obtain

$$\|\nabla v_m(\cdot, s, \cdot)\|_{L^q([s,\tau], L^{\frac{qd}{d-2}}(\mathbb{R}^d))} \leqslant C \|\nabla f\|_q, \quad (17)$$

where $C = C(q,T)$ does not depend on m or $(s,\tau) \in D_T$. Finally, using the interpolation inequality, we obtain

$$\|\nabla v_m\|_{L^{\frac{q}{1-\alpha}}([s,\tau],L^{\frac{qd}{d-2+2\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^d))} \leqslant \|\nabla v_m\|^{\alpha}_{L^{\infty}([s,\tau],L^q(\mathbb{R}^d))}\|\nabla v_m\|^{1-\alpha}_{L^q([s,\tau],L^{\frac{qd}{d-2}}(\mathbb{R}^d))}$$
$$\leqslant \alpha\|\nabla v_m\|_{L^{\infty}([s,\tau],L^q(\mathbb{R}^d))} + (1-\alpha)\|\nabla v_m\|_{L^q([s,\tau],L^{\frac{qd}{d-2}}(\mathbb{R}^d))},$$

which, in view of (16) and (17), yields (14) ($\Rightarrow$ Lemma 3).

2.2. **Proof of Lemma 4.** Set $r = r_{m,n} := v_m - v_n$, where v_m (v_n) is the solution of Cauchy problem (10). Then r satisfies

$$\partial_t r = \Delta r + b_m(t,x)\cdot\nabla r + \big(b_m(t,x) - b_n(t,x)\big)\cdot\nabla v_n + h'(t)r. \tag{18}$$

Fix $s \in [0,T]$. Denote $\varphi := r|r|^{p-2}$, $\eta = r|r|^{\frac{p-2}{2}}$. We multiply equation (18) by φ and integrate in t over $[s,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d$ to get identity

$$\frac{1}{p}\|\eta(T,s,\cdot)\|_2^2 + \frac{4(p-1)}{p^2}\int_s^T \|\nabla\eta\|_2^2 dt =$$
$$\frac{2}{p}\int_s^T \langle\nabla\eta, b_m\eta\rangle dt + \int_s^T \langle\eta|\eta|^{1-\frac{2}{p}}, (b_m - b_n)\cdot\nabla v_n\rangle dt + \int_s^T h'(t)\langle\eta^2\rangle dt \tag{19}$$

(note that by definition $\eta(s,s,\cdot)\equiv 0$). We estimate the right-hand side of (19) as follows:

1) Using inequality $ab \leqslant \varepsilon a^2 + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon}b^2$ ($\varepsilon > 0$) and condition ($\mathbf{C_2}$), we obtain:

$$\int_s^T \langle\nabla\eta, b_m\eta\rangle dt \leqslant \varepsilon\int_s^T \langle(b_m\eta)^2\rangle dt + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon}\int_s^T \langle|\nabla\eta|^2\rangle dt$$
$$\leqslant \varepsilon(\beta + \frac{1}{m})\int_s^T \langle|\nabla\eta|^2\rangle dt + \varepsilon\int_s^T g(t)\langle\eta^2\rangle dt + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon}\int_s^T \langle|\nabla\eta|^2\rangle dt.$$

2) Using inequalities $|b_m - b_n| \leqslant |b_m| + |b_n|$, $ab \leqslant \delta a^2 + \frac{1}{4\delta}b^2$ ($\delta > 0$), and condition ($\mathbf{C_2}$), we find

$$\left|\int_s^T \langle\eta|\eta|^{1-\frac{2}{p}}, (b_m - b_n)\cdot\nabla v_n\rangle dt\right| \leqslant \int_s^T \langle|b_m - b_n||\eta|, |\eta|^{1-\frac{2}{p}}|\nabla v_n|\rangle dt$$
$$\leqslant \delta\int_s^T \langle(b_m\eta)^2\rangle dt + \delta\int_s^T \langle(b_n\eta)^2\rangle dt + 2\frac{1}{4\delta}\int_s^T \langle|\eta|^{2-\frac{4}{p}}|\nabla v_n|^2\rangle dt$$
$$\leqslant 2\delta\left(\left(\beta + \frac{1}{\min\{m,n\}}\right)\int_s^T \langle|\nabla\eta|^2\rangle dt + \int_s^T g(t)\langle\eta^2\rangle dt\right) + 2\frac{1}{4\delta}\int_s^T \langle|\eta|^{2-\frac{4}{p}}|\nabla v_n|^2\rangle dt.$$

We choose a sufficiently large m_0, so that $\beta' := \beta + \frac{1}{m_0} < 4$. In what follows, we take $n, m \geqslant m_0$ and, in order to simplify the notation, re-denote β' by β. We obtain from identity (19) and estimates 1), 2)

$$\frac{1}{p}\|\eta(T,s,\cdot)\|_2^2 + \left(\frac{4(p-1)}{p^2} - \frac{2}{p}\left(\varepsilon\beta + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon}\right) - 2\beta\delta\right)\int_s^T \langle|\nabla\eta|^2\rangle dt$$
$$- \left(\frac{2}{p}\varepsilon + 2\delta\right)\int_s^T g(t)\langle\eta^2\rangle dt - \int_s^T h'(t)\langle\eta^2\rangle dt \leqslant \frac{1}{2\delta}\int_s^T \langle|\eta|^{2-\frac{4}{p}}|\nabla v_n|^2\rangle dt. \tag{20}$$

Choose

$$\varepsilon := \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\beta}}, \quad \delta := \frac{1}{2\beta}\left(\frac{4(p-1)}{p^2} - \frac{2}{p}\sqrt{\beta} - \frac{1}{p^k}\right),$$

$$h'(t) := -\left(\frac{2}{p_0} + 2\delta\right)\int_0^t g(\theta)d\theta.$$

In the next two claims we integrate $r(t,s,x)$ in t over $[s,T]$, with s fixed above.

Claim 7. *Let $0 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant 1$. We can choose $k > 1$ so that for all $n \geqslant m_0$,*

$$\frac{1}{p^k}\|r\|^p_{L^{\frac{p}{1-\alpha}}([s,T],L^{\frac{pd}{d-2+2\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^d))} \leqslant C_0\beta p^k \int_s^T \langle |\eta|^{2-\frac{4}{p}}|\nabla v_n|^2\rangle dt \tag{21}$$

for some constant $C_0 < \infty$.

Proof of Claim 7. Step 1. Let us show that we can choose $k > 1$ so that for all $n \geqslant m_0$,

$$\frac{c_0}{p^k}\|r\|^p_{L^p([s,T],L^{\frac{pd}{d-2}}(\mathbb{R}^d))} \leqslant \beta p^k \int_s^T \langle |\eta|^{2-\frac{4}{p}}|\nabla v_n|^2\rangle dt \tag{22}$$

for come constant $c_0 > 0$. Indeed, with the above choice of ε and δ, the coefficient of $\int_0^T \langle |\nabla\eta|^2\rangle dt$ in (20) can be computed as follows:

$$\frac{4(p-1)}{p^2} - \frac{2}{p}\left(\varepsilon\beta + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon}\right) - 2\delta\beta = \frac{4(p-1)}{p^2} - \frac{2}{p}\sqrt{\beta} - 2\beta\delta = \frac{1}{p^k} \tag{23}$$

Next, since $p_0 > 1$, we can choose k so that

$$\frac{4(p_0-1)}{p_0^2} - \frac{2}{p_0}\sqrt{\beta} \geqslant \frac{2}{p_0^k}. \tag{24}$$

It is immediate that the latter inequality also holds if we replace p_0 and m_0 with any $p > p_0$ and $m > m_0$. Then, by (24) and our choice of δ,

$$\delta \geqslant \frac{1}{2\beta p^k}. \tag{25}$$

It is also seen that there exist c_1, $c_2 > 0$ independent of $p \geqslant p_0$, $m \geqslant m_0$ such that

$$-\left(\frac{2}{p}\varepsilon + 2\delta\right)\int_{D_T} g(t)\langle\eta^2\rangle dtds - \int_{D_T} h'(t)\langle\eta^2\rangle dtds \geqslant c_2\int_{D_T} g(t)\langle\eta^2\rangle dtds \ (\geqslant 0).$$

Now, (20) using (23), (25) and the last inequality yield

$$\frac{1}{p^k}\int_s^T \langle |\nabla\eta|^2\rangle dt \leqslant \beta p^k \int_s^T \langle |\eta|^{2-\frac{4}{p}}|\nabla v_n|^2\rangle dt.$$

Further, applying Sobolev inequality in the spatial variables, we get (recall that $|\eta| = |r|^{\frac{p}{2}}$):

$$\int_s^T \langle |\nabla\eta|^2\rangle dt \geqslant c_0\|r\|^p_{L^p([s,T],L^{\frac{pd}{d-2}}(\mathbb{R}^d))}$$

where $c_0 > 0$ is the best constant in Sobolev inequality. The latter, and the previous estimate, yield the assertion of Step 1.

Step 2. Next, we show that for all $n \geqslant m_0$,

$$\frac{1}{p^k}\|r\|^p_{L^\infty([s,T],L^p(\mathbb{R}^d))} \leqslant \beta p^k \int_s^T \langle |\eta|^{2-\frac{4}{p}} |\nabla v_n|^2 \rangle dt.$$

Indeed, since $T < \infty$ was fixed arbitrarily, it is also clear from (20) that

$$\frac{1}{p}\|\eta(t,s,\cdot)\|_2^2 \leqslant \beta p^k \int_s^t \langle |\eta|^{2-\frac{4}{p}} |\nabla v_n|^2 \rangle dt, \qquad t \in [s,T].$$

Since the right-hand side in the last estimate is monotonically increasing in t, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{p}\sup_{t\in[s,T]}\|\eta(t,s,\cdot)\|_2^2 \leqslant \beta p^k \int_s^T \langle |\eta|^{2-\frac{4}{p}} |\nabla v_n|^2 \rangle dt.$$

Finally, since $k > 1$, in the left-hand side of the last estimate we can replace p with p^k, arriving to the assertion of Step 2.

Step 3. By the interpolation inequality

$$\begin{aligned}\|r\|^p_{L^{\frac{p}{1-\alpha}}([s,T],L^{\frac{pd}{d-2+2\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^d))} &\leqslant \|r\|^{\alpha p}_{L^\infty([s,T],L^p(\mathbb{R}^d))}\|r\|^{(1-\alpha)p}_{L^p([s,T],L^{\frac{pd}{d-2}}(\mathbb{R}^d))} \\ &\leqslant \alpha\|r\|^p_{L^\infty([s,T],L^p(\mathbb{R}^d))} + (1-\alpha)\|r\|^{(1-\alpha)p}_{L^p([s,T],L^{\frac{pd}{d-2}}(\mathbb{R}^d))}.\end{aligned}$$

Now, the result of Steps 1 and 2 yield the assertion (21) of the claim. □

Next, we estimate the right-hand side of (21).

Claim 8. *With σ, σ' and λ, λ' as in the formulation of the lemma, we have*

$$\int_s^T \langle |\eta|^{2-\frac{4}{p}} |\nabla v_n|^2 \rangle dt \leqslant \|\nabla v_n\|^2_{L^{2\lambda'}([s,T],L^{2\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d))}\|r\|^{p-2}_{L^{(p-2)\lambda}([s,T],L^{(p-2)\sigma'}(\mathbb{R}^d))}$$

Proof of Claim 8. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_s^T \langle |\eta|^{2-\frac{4}{p}} |\nabla v_n|^2 \rangle dt &\leqslant \int_s^T \langle |\nabla v_n|^{2\sigma} \rangle^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \langle |\eta|^{\left(2-\frac{4}{p}\right)\sigma'} \rangle^{\frac{1}{\sigma'}} dt = \int_s^T \|\nabla v_n\|^2_{L^{2\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d)}\|r\|^{p-2}_{L^{(p-2)\sigma'}(\mathbb{R}^d)} dt \\ &\leqslant \left(\int_s^T \|\nabla v_n\|^{2\lambda'}_{L^{2\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d)} dt\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda'}} \left(\int_s^T \|r\|^{(p-2)\lambda}_{L^{(p-2)\sigma'}(\mathbb{R}^d)} dt\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} \\ &= \|\nabla v_n\|^2_{L^{2\lambda'}([s,T],L^{2\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d))}\|r\|^{p-2}_{L^{(p-2)\lambda}([s,T],L^{(p-2)\sigma'}(\mathbb{R}^d))}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Now, combining Claim 7 and Claim 8, and recalling that $r := v_n - v_m$, and β is the re-denoted $\beta + \frac{1}{m_0}$, we arrive at the required estimate (8) in Lemma 4.

2.3. **Proof of Corollary 5.** The proof of Corollary 5 follows closely the proof of [KS, Lemma 7]. Fix $s \in [0,T]$. In what follows,

$$\alpha := \frac{2}{d+2}$$

(we keep α to simplify the notation). Select $\sigma > \frac{d}{2(1-\alpha)}$ close to $\frac{d}{2(1-\alpha)}$, and determine $1 < \sigma' < \frac{d}{d-2+2\alpha}$ via $\frac{1}{\sigma}+\frac{1}{\sigma'}=1$. We are going to iterate the inequality of Lemma 4 (where we integrate $v_m(t,s,x)$, $v_n(t,s,x)$ solving (10) in t over $[s,T]$),

$$\|v_m - v_n\|_{L^{\frac{p}{1-\alpha}}([s,T],L^{\frac{pd}{d-2+2\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^d))}$$
$$\leqslant \left(C_0\left(\beta+\frac{1}{m_0}\right)\|\nabla v_m\|^2_{L^{2\lambda'}([s,T],L^{2\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d))}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}(p^{2k})^{\frac{1}{p}}\|v_m-v_n\|^{1-\frac{2}{p}}_{L^{(p-2)\lambda}([s,T],L^{(p-2)\sigma'}(\mathbb{R}^d))}, \quad (26)$$

where λ, λ' are determined by $\frac{1/(1-\alpha)}{\lambda}=\frac{d/(d-2+2\alpha)}{\sigma'}$, $\frac{1}{\lambda}+\frac{1}{\lambda'}=1$, so $2\lambda'=\frac{2(d-2+2\alpha)\sigma}{d-2\sigma+2\alpha\sigma+\alpha\sigma d-\alpha d}$.

First, let us estimate $\|\nabla v_m\|^2_{L^{2\lambda'}([s,T],L^{2\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d))}$. Since $\alpha=\frac{2}{d+2}$, we have

$$2\lambda' = \frac{2\sigma(d-2+2\alpha)}{d(1-\alpha)},$$

hence we can apply the a priori estimate of Lemma 3, where we take q ($>d$) such that $2\sigma=\frac{qd}{d-2+2\alpha}$:

$$\|\nabla v_m\|^2_{L^{2\lambda'}([s,T],L^{2\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d))} \leqslant \hat{C}\|\nabla f\|_q$$

for some $\hat{C}<\infty$ independent of m, s and f (such q in Lemma 3 is admissible, in view of the assumptions on β in Theorem 3).

Then (26) yields

$$\|v_m - v_n\|_{L^{\frac{p}{1-\alpha}}([s,T],L^{\frac{pd}{d-2+2\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^d))} \leqslant D^{\frac{1}{p}}(p^{2k})^{\frac{1}{p}}\|v_m-v_n\|^{1-\frac{2}{p}}_{L^{(p-2)\lambda}([s,T],L^{(p-2)\sigma'}(\mathbb{R}^d))}, \quad (27)$$

where $D<\infty$. Choose any $p_0 > \frac{2}{2-\sqrt{\beta}}$, and construct a sequence $\{p_l\}_{l\geqslant 0}$ by successively assuming $\sigma'(p_1-2)=p_0$, $\sigma'(p_2-1)=\frac{p_1 d}{d-2+2\alpha}$, $\sigma'(p_3-1)=\frac{p_2 d}{d-2+2\alpha}$ etc, so that

$$p_l = (a-1)^{-1}\left(a^l\left(\frac{p_0}{\sigma'}+2\right)-a^{l-1}\frac{p_0}{\sigma'}-2\right), \quad a:=\frac{1}{\sigma'}\frac{d}{d-2+2\alpha}>1. \quad (28)$$

Clearly,

$$c_1 a^l \leqslant p_l \leqslant c_2 a^l, \quad \text{where } c_1:=p_1 a^{-1}, \quad c_2:=c_1(a-1)^{-1}, \quad (29)$$

so $p_l\to\infty$ as $l\to\infty$. We now iterate inequality (27), starting with $p=p_0$, to obtain

$$\|v_m - v_n\|_{L^{2p_l}([s,T],L^{\frac{p_l d}{d-2+2\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^d))} \leqslant D^{\alpha_l}\Gamma_l\|v_m-v_n\|^{\gamma_l}_{L^{p_0\lambda}([s,T],L^{p_0\sigma'}(\mathbb{R}^d))}, \quad (30)$$

where $\gamma_l := \left(1-\frac{2}{p_1}\right)\dots\left(1-\frac{2}{p_l}\right)$,

$$\alpha_l := \frac{1}{p_1}\left(1-\frac{2}{p_2}\right)\left(1-\frac{2}{p_3}\right)\dots\left(1-\frac{2}{p_l}\right)+$$
$$\frac{1}{p_2}\left(1-\frac{2}{p_3}\right)\left(1-\frac{2}{p_4}\right)\dots\left(1-\frac{2}{p_l}\right)+\dots+\frac{1}{p_{l-1}}\left(1-\frac{2}{p_l}\right)+\frac{1}{p_l},$$

$$\Gamma_l := \left(p_l^{p_l^{-1}}p_{l-1}^{p_{l-1}^{-1}(1-2p_l^{-1})}p_{l-2}^{p_{l-2}^{-1}(1-2p_{l-1}^{-1})(1-2p_l^{-1})}\dots p_1^{p_1^{-1}(1-2p_2^{-1})\dots(1-2p_l^{-1})}\right)^{2k}.$$

We wish to take $l\to\infty$ in (30): since $p_l\to\infty$ as $l\to\infty$, this would yield the required inequality (9) provided that sequences $\{\alpha_l\}$, $\{\Gamma_l\}$ are bounded from above, and $\{\gamma_l\}$ is bounded from below by

a positive constant. Indeed, we can compute $\alpha_l = a^l - \frac{1}{p_l(a-1)}$, $\gamma_l = p_0 \frac{a^{l-1}}{\sigma' p_l}$, and note that, in view of (28),

$$\sup_l \alpha_l \leqslant \left(\frac{p_0}{\sigma'} + 2 - \frac{p_0(d-2+2\alpha)}{d} \right)^{-1} < \infty, \quad \sup_l \gamma_l < \infty, \tag{31}$$

$$\inf_l \gamma_l > \left(1 - \frac{\sigma'(d-2+2\alpha)}{d}\right)\left(1 - \frac{\sigma'(d-2+2\alpha)}{d} + \frac{2\sigma'}{p_0}\right)^{-1} > 0. \tag{32}$$

Further, it is not difficult to see (cf. (28)) that $\Gamma_l^{1/2k} = p_l^{p_l^{-1}} p_{l-1}^{a p_l^{-1}} p_{l-2}^{a^2 p_l^{-1}} \dots p_1^{a^{l-1} p_l^{-1}}$. Then by (29)

$$\Gamma_l^{1/2k} \leqslant (c_1 a^l)^{(c_2 a^l)^{-1}} (c_1 a^{l-1})^{(c_2 a^{l-1})^{-1}} \dots (c_1 a)^{(c_2 a)^{-1}} = \left(c_1^{(a^l-1)/(a^l(a-1))} a^{\sum_{j=1}^l j a^{-j}} \right)^{c_2^{-1}} \leqslant \left(c_1^{(a-1)^{-1}} c_2^{a(a-1)^{-1}} \right)^{c_2^{-1}} < \infty. \tag{33}$$

It follows from estimates (31), (32) and (33) that we can take $l \to \infty$ in (30), which then yields (9) in Corollary 5 upon taking sup in $s \in [0,T]$.

2.4. **Proof of Lemma 6.** Let v_m be the solution of Cauchy problem (10). Clearly, $\{v_m\} \subset L^\infty(D_T, L^q(\mathbb{R}^d))$, $q \geqslant 2$. We need to prove that $\{v_m\}$ is fundamental $L^\infty(D_T, L^q(\mathbb{R}^d))$, $q \geqslant 2$, for some h independent of m.

Claim 9. *Suppose $\{v_m\}$ is fundamental in $L^\infty(D_T, L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$. Then, for every $q > 2$, $\{v_m\}$ is fundamental in $L^\infty(D_T, L^q(\mathbb{R}^d))$.*

Proof of Claim 9. By Hölder inequality

$$\|v_m - v_n\|^q_{L^\infty(D_T, L^q(\mathbb{R}^d))} = \sup_{(s,t)\in D_T} \langle |v_m - v_n|^{q-1} |v_m - v_n| \rangle \leqslant \left(\sup_{(s,t)\in D_T} \langle |v_m - v_n|^{2(q-1)} \rangle \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sup_{(s,t)\in D_T} \langle (v_m - v_n)^2 \rangle \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

The first multiple in the right-most part is bounded uniformly in m, n by estimate (13) (there we need $2(q-1) > (1-\sqrt{\beta/4})^{-1}$, which is true by our assumption $\beta < 1$). The second multiple converges to 0 as m, $n \to \infty$ because $\{v_m\}$ is fundamental in $L^\infty(D_T, L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$. So, $\|v_m - v_n\|_{L^\infty(D_T, L^q(\mathbb{R}^d))} \to 0$ as m, $n \to \infty$. □

Therefore, it suffices to show that $\{v_m\}$ is fundamental in $L^\infty(D_T, L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$. We do it in three steps.

Step 1. Fix $k \geqslant 1$, and define

$$\rho_\delta(x) := (1 + \delta|x|^2)^{-k}, \quad \delta > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

so that $\rho_\delta(x) \to 0$ as $x \to \infty$, and for every $\Omega \Subset \mathbb{R}^d$ $\rho_\delta \to 1$ uniformly on Ω as $\delta \to 0$.

Let us show that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a sufficiently small $\delta > 0$ such that for all m

$$\langle v_m^2(\tau, s, \cdot)(1 - \rho_\delta) \rangle < \varepsilon, \quad (s,\tau) \in D_T. \tag{34}$$

(Informally, v_m 'do not run away to infinity' as $m \to \infty$.)

It is easy to verify that

$$|\nabla\rho_\delta(x)| \leqslant 2k\sqrt{\delta}\rho_\delta(x), \quad \left|\frac{(\nabla\rho_\delta(x))^2}{1-\rho_\delta(x)}\right| \leqslant 4k^2\delta\rho_\delta(x) \quad \text{for all } x\in\mathbb{R}^d. \tag{35}$$

The estimate (34) follows from the next

Claim 10. *We can choose h in* (10) *in such a way that, for all m,*

(a)

$$\langle v_m^2(\tau,s,\cdot)\rangle + C_0\int_s^\tau \langle(\nabla v_m)^2\rangle dt \leqslant \langle f^2\rangle, \quad (s,\tau)\in D_T$$

where $0<C_0<\infty$ is independent of m, $(s,\tau)\in D_T$

(b)

$$\langle v_m^2(\tau,s,\cdot)(1-\rho_\delta)\rangle + C_0\int_s^\tau \langle(\nabla v_m)^2(1-\rho_\delta)\rangle dt \leqslant \langle f^2(1-\rho_\delta)\rangle$$
$$+ C_1k\sqrt{\delta}\bigg(\int_s^\tau\langle v_m^2\rho_\delta\rangle dt + \int_s^\tau\langle(\nabla v_m)^2\rho_\delta\rangle dt\bigg), \quad (s,\tau)\in D_T,$$

where $C_1<\infty$ is independent of m, $(s,\tau)\in D_T$.

Proof of (34). By the estimate of Claim 10(b), applying in its last term estimates $|\rho_\delta(x)|\leqslant 1$, $\int_s^\tau\langle v_m^2\rangle dt \leqslant (\tau-s)C\langle f^2\rangle$ (cf. (13)) and Claim 10(a), we obtain

$$\langle v_m^2(\tau,s,\cdot)(1-\rho_\delta)\rangle \leqslant \langle f^2(1-\rho_\delta)\rangle + C_1k\sqrt{\delta}\big(C(\tau-s)+C_0^{-1}\big)\langle f^2\rangle, \quad (s,\tau)\in D_T.$$

Since $\rho_\delta\to 1$ uniformly on the support of $f\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ as $\delta\to 0$, the right-hand side of the last estimate can can be made as small as needed by taking δ to be sufficiently small, i.e. we have (34). □

Proof of Claim 10. We will prove (a) in the course of proof of (b). Denote

$$A := \int_s^\tau\langle(\nabla v_m)^2(1-\rho_\delta)\rangle dt \geqslant 0, \quad B := \int_s^\tau\left\langle v_m^2\frac{(\nabla\rho_\delta)^2}{1-\rho_\delta}\right\rangle dt \geqslant 0,$$

$$G := \int_s^\tau\langle g(t)v_m^2(1-\rho_\delta)\rangle dt, \quad R := \int_s^\tau\langle\nabla v_m^2\nabla\rho_\delta\rangle dt.$$

To prove (b), multiply the equation in (10) by $v_m(1-\rho_\delta)$ and integrate to get

$$M := \int_s^\tau\frac{d}{dt}\langle v_m^2(1-\rho_\delta)\rangle dt =$$
$$2\int_s^\tau\langle v_m\Delta v_m(1-\rho_\delta)\rangle dt + 2\int_s^\tau\langle v_m b_m\nabla v_m(1-\rho_\delta)\rangle dt + 2\int_s^\tau\langle v_m^2h'(t)(1-\rho_\delta)\rangle dt$$
$$=: M_1+M_2+M3. \tag{36}$$

We have

1) $M = \langle v_m^2(\tau,s,\cdot)(1-\rho_\delta)\rangle - \langle f^2(1-\rho_\delta)\rangle$,

2) $M_1 = -2A-R$,

3) $M_2 \leqslant \Big(\gamma + \frac{\beta+\frac{1}{m}}{\gamma}(1+\epsilon)\Big)A + \frac{\beta+\frac{1}{m}}{\gamma}\left(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{4\epsilon}\right)B + G$.

Identities 1), 2) are self-evident. Estimate 3) is obtained as follows. Using elementary inequality $2ab \leqslant \gamma a^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma} b^2$ ($\gamma > 0$) and condition $(\mathbf{C}_2)$, we find

$$M_2 = 2\int_s^\tau \langle v_m b_m \nabla v_m (1-\rho_\delta)\rangle dt \leqslant \gamma A + \frac{1}{\gamma}\int_s^\tau \langle b_m^2 (v_m\sqrt{1-\rho_\delta})^2\rangle dt$$
$$\leqslant \gamma A + \frac{\beta + 1/m}{\gamma}\int_s^\tau \langle (\nabla(v_m\sqrt{1-\rho_\delta}))^2\rangle dt + \frac{1}{\gamma} G$$

We obtain 3) after noting that

$$\int_s^\tau \langle (\nabla(v_m\sqrt{1-\rho_\delta}))^2\rangle dt = \int_s^\tau \left\langle (\nabla v_m)^2(1-\rho_\delta) - 2\,\nabla v_m \cdot \frac{1}{2}\frac{\nabla\rho_\delta}{\sqrt{1-\rho_\delta}} + \frac{1}{4} v_m^2 \frac{(\nabla\rho_\delta)^2}{1-\rho_\delta}) \right\rangle dt$$
$$\leqslant (1+\epsilon)A + \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4\epsilon}\right) B,$$

where we used inequality $2ab \leq \epsilon a^2 + \frac{1}{\epsilon} b^2$ ($\epsilon > 0$).

We now substitute 1), 2) and 3) into equality $M = M_1 + M_2 + M_3$ to get

$$\langle v_m^2(\tau, s, \cdot)(1-\rho_\delta)\rangle + \left(2 - \gamma + \frac{\beta - \frac{1}{m}}{\gamma}(1+\epsilon)\right) A$$
$$\leqslant \langle f^2(1-\rho_\delta)\rangle + |R| + \frac{\beta + \frac{1}{m}}{\gamma}\left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4\epsilon}\right) B + \frac{1}{\gamma} G + M_3. \quad (37)$$

Since $\beta < 1$, there exists $\gamma > 0$ such that starting from some m, for all $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small $2 - \gamma - \frac{\beta + \frac{1}{m}}{\gamma}(1+\epsilon) > 0$. Let us fix such γ, and select $h(t) := -\frac{2}{\gamma}\int_0^t g(\theta) d\theta$, so that $\frac{1}{\gamma} G + M_3 = 0$.

REMARK 2. Up to this moment, we can repeat everything replacing ρ_δ with 0 (then $R = 0$, $B = 0$), in which case estimate (37) would imply Claim 10(a).

It remains to estimate $|R|$ and B. We have

$$|R| = 2\int_s^\tau \langle v_m \nabla v_m, \nabla\rho_\delta\rangle dt$$
$$\leqslant \int_s^\tau \langle (v_m)^2 |\nabla\rho_\delta|\rangle dt + \int_s^\tau \langle (\nabla v_m)^2 |\nabla\rho_\delta|\rangle dt \quad \text{(by the first estimate in (35))}$$
$$\leqslant 2k\sqrt{\delta}\left(\int_s^\tau \langle v_m^2 \rho_\delta\rangle dt + \int_s^\tau \langle (\nabla v_m)^2 \rho_\delta\rangle dt\right).$$

Using the second estimate in (35), we obtain $B \leqslant 2k^2\delta \int_s^\tau \langle v_m^2 \rho_\delta\rangle dt$. Assertion (b) now follows from (37) and the estimates on $|R|$ and B. □

Step 2. Denote by $\mathbf{1}_\alpha$ the characteristic function of open ball $B_0(\alpha) \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ of radius α centered at 0. Set $r_{m,n} := v_m - v_n$. Let us show that

$$\langle \mathbf{1}_\alpha r_{m,n}^2(\tau, s, \cdot)\rangle < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } m, n \text{ sufficiently large.} \quad (38)$$

In what follows, we omit indices m, n wherever possible: $r := r_{m,n}$. Define for $\sigma > 0$ and $y \geqslant 0$

$$\xi(y) = \begin{cases} 1 & y \leqslant \alpha \\ 1 - \sigma(y - \alpha) & \alpha < y \leqslant \alpha + \frac{1}{\sigma} \\ 0 & y > \alpha + \frac{1}{\sigma} \end{cases}$$

Set $\eta(x) := \xi^2(|x|)$ $(x \in \mathbb{R}^d)$. Clearly,

$$|\nabla \eta| \leqslant 2\sigma, \quad \frac{(\nabla \eta)^2}{\eta} \leqslant 4\sigma^2 \quad \text{ on } B_0(\alpha + 1/\sigma). \tag{39}$$

It is also clear that

$$\langle \eta r(\tau, s, \cdot)^2 \rangle < \varepsilon \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \langle \mathbf{1}_\alpha r^2(\tau, s, \cdot) \rangle < \varepsilon.$$

Thus, to prove (38), it suffices to show that the inequality in the left-hand side holds true. Indeed, $r = v_m - v_n$ satisfies

$$\partial_t r = \Delta r + b_m \cdot \nabla r + (b_m - b_n) \cdot \nabla v_n + h'(t) r, \quad r(s, s, \cdot) \equiv 0,$$

so, multiplying both sides of the first identity by ηr and integrating over $[s, \tau] \times \mathbb{R}^d$, we obtain

$$\int_s^\tau \left\langle \eta r \frac{\partial r}{\partial t} \right\rangle dt = \int_s^\tau \langle \eta r \Delta r \rangle dt + \int_s^\tau \langle \eta r b_m, \nabla r \rangle dt + \int_s^\tau \langle \eta r (b_m - b_n), \nabla v_n \rangle dt + \int_s^\tau h'(t) \langle \eta r^2 \rangle dt. \tag{40}$$

In the right-hand side of (40): we integrate by parts in the first term, apply inequality $ab \leqslant \frac{1}{2}a^2 + \frac{1}{2}b^2$ to the second term and apply Hölder inequality to the third term to obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\langle \eta r^2(\tau, s, \cdot) \rangle \leqslant -\frac{1}{2}\int_s^\tau \langle \eta (\nabla r)^2 \rangle - \int_s^\tau \langle r \nabla \eta \nabla r \rangle + \frac{1}{2}\int_s^\tau \langle \eta b_m^2 r^2 \rangle dt + \\ \left(\int_s^\tau \langle \eta r^2 (b_m - b_n)^2 \rangle dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_s^\tau \langle \eta (\nabla v_n)^2 \rangle dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \int_s^\tau h'(t) \langle \eta r^2 \rangle dt \tag{41}$$

or

$$K \leqslant -\frac{1}{2}K_1 - K_2 + \frac{1}{2}K_3 + K_4 + K_5.$$

Our goal is to show that $(K_{m,n} =)$ $K = \frac{1}{2}\langle \eta r^2(\tau, s, \cdot) \rangle \to \infty$ as m, $n \to \infty$.

The following estimate follows directly from the first estimate in (39) and inequality $2ab \leqslant a^2 + b^2$:

$$|K_2| \leqslant \sigma \int_s^\tau \left(\langle r^2 \rangle + \langle (\nabla r)^2 \rangle \right) dt.$$

Note that $\langle r^2(\tau, s, \cdot) \rangle \leqslant 4C \langle f^2 \rangle$ and $\int_s^\tau \langle (\nabla r)^2 \rangle \leqslant 4C_1 \langle f^2 \rangle$ by Claim 10(a), where constants $C, C_1 < \infty$ are independent of m, n (recall that $r := v_m - v_n$). Therefore,

$$|K_2| \leqslant \hat{C}\sigma, \tag{42}$$

where $\hat{C} = 4C\langle f^2 \rangle(\tau - s) + 4C_1 \langle f^2 \rangle$.

Next, using $(\mathbf{C_2})$ and the second estimate in (35), we obtain

$$K_3 \leqslant (\beta + 1/m) \int_s^\tau \langle (\nabla(\sqrt{\eta} r))^2 \rangle dt + \int_s^\tau g(t) \langle \eta r^2 \rangle dt \leqslant \\ (\beta + 1/m) \int_s^\tau \left(\sigma^2 \langle r^2 \rangle + \langle r \nabla \eta \nabla r \rangle + \langle \eta (\nabla r)^2 \rangle \right) dt + \int_s^\tau g(t) \langle \eta r^2 \rangle dt \leqslant \\ (\beta + 1/m) \int_s^\tau \sigma^2 \langle r^2 \rangle dt + (\beta + 1/m) K_2 + (\beta + 1/m) K_1 + \int_s^\tau g(t) \langle \eta r^2 \rangle dt. \tag{43}$$

Let us show that $K_4 = K_{4,m,n} \to 0$ as $m,\, n \to \infty$ uniformly in $(s,\tau) \in D_T$. Since $|\eta(x)| \leqslant 1$, $\int_s^\tau \langle (\nabla v_n)^2 \rangle dt \leqslant C_1 \langle f^2 \rangle$ by Claim 10(a), and $\|r(\tau,s,\cdot)\|_\infty \leqslant 2c\|f\|_\infty$ by (12) for some $C_1, c > 0$ independent of n, m, we have

$$K_4 \leqslant 2c\|f\|_\infty \left(\int_s^\tau \langle \eta (b_m - b_n)^2 \rangle dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} C_1^{1/2} \langle f^2 \rangle^{1/2};$$

the right-hand side tends to 0 as $m,\, n \to \infty$ since, by $(\mathbf{C_1})$ $b_m \to b$ in $L^2_{\mathrm{loc}}([0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d)$, η has compact support in $[0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d$, so $\eta(b_m - b_n) \to 0$ in $L^2([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$. Therefore, $K_4 \to 0$ as m, $n \to \infty$.

We now combine the above estimates on K, K_i's. In view of (43) $K \leqslant -\frac{1}{2}K_1 - K_2 + \frac{1}{2}K_3 + K_4 + K_5$ implies

$$K \leqslant \left(\frac{\beta + 1/m}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) K_1 + \left(1 + \frac{\beta + 1/m}{2} \right) |K_2| \\ + (\beta + 1/m) \int_s^\tau \sigma^2 \langle r^2 \rangle dt + \int_s^\tau g(t) \langle \eta r^2 \rangle dt + K_4 + K_5.$$

We select $h'(t) = -g(t)$, so $\int_s^\tau g(t) \langle \eta r^2 \rangle dt + K_5 = 0$. Therefore, we can re-write the last estimate as

$$K + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\beta + 1/m}{2} \right) K_1 \leqslant \left(1 + \frac{\beta + 1/m}{2} \right) |K_2| + (\beta + 1/m) \int_s^\tau \sigma^2 \langle r^2 \rangle dt + K_4,$$

where, since $\beta < 1$, the coefficient of K_1 is positive provided that m is sufficiently large. Now, in view of (42), Claim 10(a), and since $\beta < 1$ we have, starting from some m,

$$K + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\beta + 1/m}{2} \right) K_1 \leqslant \frac{3}{2} \hat{C} \sigma + 4\sigma^2 C \langle f^2 \rangle (\tau - s) + K_4. \tag{44}$$

We can choose a sufficiently small σ in the definition of function η and then use the fact that $K_4 = K_{4,m,n} \to 0$ as $m,\, n \to \infty$ uniformly in $(s,\tau) \in D_T$ to make the right-hand side of (44) as small as we wish, for all $(s,\tau) \in D_T$, for all m, n sufficiently large. Thus, $(K_{m,n} =)\ K = \frac{1}{2} \langle \eta r^2(\tau,s,\cdot) \rangle \to 0$ as $m,\, n \to \infty$ uniformly in $(s,\tau) \in D_T$; this yields (38).

Step 3. We now combine the results of Step 1 and Step 2. First, let us show that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$\langle (1 - \mathbf{1}_\alpha) r_{m,n}(\tau,s,\cdot)^2 \rangle < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } m,n, \quad (s,\tau) \in D_T \tag{45}$$

Indeed, by the result of Step 1 we can find $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\langle (1 - \rho_\delta) r_{m,n}(\tau,s\cdot)^2 \rangle \leqslant 2\langle v_n(\tau,s,\cdot)^2 (1 - \rho_\delta) \rangle + 2\langle v_m(\tau,s,\cdot)^2 (1 - \rho_\delta) \rangle < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}. \tag{46}$$

Choose $\alpha = \alpha(\delta)$ such that $|1 - \rho_\delta(x)| > \frac{1}{3}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_0(\alpha)$, and so $(1 - \mathbf{1}_\alpha(x)) < 3(1 - \rho_\delta(x))$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then

$$\langle (1 - \mathbf{1}_\alpha) r_{m,n}(\tau,s,\cdot)^2 \rangle < 3\langle (1 - \rho_\delta(x)) r_{m,n}(\tau,s,\cdot)^2 \rangle < 3 \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{3} = \varepsilon, \quad (s,\tau) \in D_T,$$

as needed.

Combining (45) with the result of Step 2, we obtain that $\langle r_{m,n}(\tau,s,\cdot)^2 \rangle < 2\varepsilon$ for all $(s,\tau) \in D_T$, for all m, n sufficiently large. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ was chosen arbitrarily, sequence $\{v_m(\tau,s,\cdot)\}$ is fundamental in $L^\infty(D_T, L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$.

2.5. **Proof of Proposition 2.** We have proved in Section 1.3 that for every $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ there exists $Uf := {}^{L^\infty(D_T\times\mathbb{R}^d)}\!-\lim_{m\to\infty} U_m f$. Since $\|U\phi\|_{L^\infty(D\times\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \|\phi\|_\infty$, $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (see (6)) and $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ in dense in $C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we can extend U to $C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by continuity. Thus, property (**E2**) holds for all $(s,t) \in D_T$.

Property (**E1**) for $(s,t) \in D_T$ follows from the uniform convergence and the reproduction property of $(U_m(t,s))_{0\leq s\leq t}$.

Property (**E3**) for $(s,t) \in D_T$ follows from estimate (6) and the fact that $U_m(t,s)$ preserve positivity.

Since $T > 0$ was chosen arbitrarily, operators $U(t,s) \in \mathcal{L}(C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))$ are well defined and satisfy (**E1**)-(**E3**) for all $0 \leqslant s \leqslant t < \infty$.

We are left to prove (**E4**). Fix $f \in C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and set $u(t,s,\cdot) = U(t,s)f(\cdot)$. Since $b \in L^2_{\rm loc}((s,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d,\mathbb{R}^d)$, it suffices to show that $\nabla u \in L^2_{\rm loc}((s,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d,\mathbb{R}^d)$ in order to conclude $b\cdot\nabla u \in L^1_{\rm loc}((s,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d)$. We argue as follows. Define, for a fixed $k > \frac{d}{2}$,

$$\rho_\delta(x) := (1+\delta|x|^2)^{-k}, \quad \delta > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Then $\rho_\delta \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and

$$|\nabla\rho_\delta(x)| \leqslant 2k\sqrt{\delta}\rho_\delta(x), \quad \left|\frac{(\nabla\rho_\delta(x))^2}{\rho_\delta(x)}\right| \leqslant 4k^2\delta\rho_\delta(x) \quad \text{for all } x\in\mathbb{R}^d, \quad 0<\delta<1. \tag{47}$$

Let $u_m(t,s,\cdot) = U_m(t,s)f(\cdot)$.

Claim 11. *For any $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small there exist constants $c_1, c_2 < \infty$ such that for all m*

$$\int_s^\tau \langle(\nabla u_m)^2\rho_\delta\rangle dt \leqslant c_1\langle f^2\rho_\delta\rangle + c_2\|f\|_\infty^2, \quad (s,\tau)\in D_T, \quad f\in C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d). \tag{48}$$

Proof of Claim 11. There exists h in (10) such that for all m

$$C_0\int_s^\tau \langle(\nabla v_m)^2\rho_\delta\rangle dt \leqslant \langle f^2\rho_\delta\rangle + C_1k\sqrt{\delta}\bigg(\int_s^\tau\langle v_m^2\rho_\delta\rangle dt + \int_s^\tau\langle(\nabla v_m)^2\rho_\delta\rangle dt\bigg), \quad (s,\tau)\in D_T. \tag{49}$$

where $0 < C_0 < \infty$, $C_1 < \infty$ are independent of m and δ. The proof goes along the lines of the proof of Claim 10(b) but with $1-\rho_\delta$ replaced by ρ_δ, and estimates (47) used in place of estimates (35). We obtain from (49)

$$(C_0 - C_1k\sqrt{\delta})\int_s^\tau\langle(\nabla v_m)^2\rho_\delta\rangle dt \leqslant \langle f^2\rho_\delta\rangle + C_1k\sqrt{\delta}\int_s^\tau\langle v_m^2\rho_\delta\rangle dt, \quad (s,\tau)\in D_T, \quad \text{for all } m, \tag{50}$$

where $C_0 - C_1k\sqrt{\delta} > 0$ for $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small. By (12) $\sup_m \|v_m\|_{L^\infty(D_T\times\mathbb{R}^d)} \leqslant c\|f\|_\infty$ for some $c < \infty$. Therefore, since $\rho_\delta \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we have $\int_s^\tau\langle v_m^2\rho_\delta\rangle dt \leqslant C_3\|f\|_\infty^2$, $C_3 := (\tau-s)c^2\langle\rho_\delta\rangle < \infty$. Substituting this estimate into (50), which yields for all m

$$\int_s^\tau\langle(\nabla v_m)^2\rho_\delta\rangle dt \leqslant (C_0 - C_1k\sqrt{\delta})^{-1}\langle f^2\rho_\delta\rangle + (C_0 - C_1k\sqrt{\delta})^{-1}C_1C_3\|f\|_\infty^2, \quad (s,\tau)\in D_T,$$

and using identity (11), we obtain (48). □

By Claim 11 sequence $\{\nabla u_m|_{[s,\tau]\times\bar{U}}\}$ is weakly relatively compact in $L^2([s,\tau]\times\bar{U},\mathbb{R}^d)$, for any open bounded $U \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. Hence, $\nabla u|_{(s,\tau)\times U}$ (understood in the sense of distributions) is in $L^2([s,\tau]\times\bar{U},\mathbb{R}^d)$. Therefore, $\nabla u \in L^2_{\rm loc}((s,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d,\mathbb{R}^d)$.

It remains to show that u satisfies integral identity (4). Obviously, $u_m(t,s,\cdot)=U_m(t,s)f(\cdot)$ satisfy

$$\int_0^\infty \langle u_m, \partial_t\psi\rangle dt - \int_0^\infty \langle u_m, \Delta\psi\rangle dt - \int_0^\infty \langle b_m\cdot\nabla u_m, \psi\rangle dt = 0, \quad \psi\in C_0^\infty((s,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d).$$

The integral identity (4) will follow upon taking $m\to\infty$ (possibly for a subsequence of $\{u_m\}$); to justify taking the limit, we argue as follows. Fix some ψ, without loss of generality $\mathrm{supp}\psi\subset(s,T)\times U$ for an open bounded $U\subset\mathbb{R}^d$. We can re-write the last identity as

$$\int_s^T \langle u_m, \partial_t\psi\rangle dt - \int_s^T \langle u_m, \Delta\psi\rangle dt - \int_s^T \langle (b_m-b)\cdot\nabla u_m, \psi\rangle dt - \int_s^T \langle b\cdot\nabla u_m, \psi\rangle dt = 0. \tag{51}$$

Since $u_m\to u$ in $C(D_T, C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))$, and ψ has compact support, we can pass to the limit in the first two terms in the left-hand side of (51). By the Hölder inequality

$$\left|\int_s^T \langle (b_m-b)\cdot\nabla u_m,\psi\rangle dt\right| \leqslant C_0^2\left(\int_s^T \langle (b_m-b)^2|\psi|\rangle dt\right)^{\frac12}, \quad C_0:=\sup_m\int_s^T\langle|\nabla u_m|^2|\psi|\rangle dt,$$

where $C_0<\infty$ by Claim 11. Since $b_m\to b$ in $L^2_{\mathrm{loc}}([0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d)$ by $(\mathbf{C}_1)$, the third term tends to 0 as $m\to\infty$. Finally, we can pass to the limit in the fourth term in (51) because $\{\nabla u_m|_{[s,T]\times\bar U}\}$ is weakly relatively compact in $L^2([s,T]\times\bar U)$, see Claim 11, and $b\psi\in L^2([0,T]\times\bar U)$.

Appendix A.

Recall that a vector field $b\in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}([0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d,\mathbb{R}^d)$ is said to belong to the Kato class $\mathbf{K}^{d+1}$ if

$$\lim_{r\downarrow 0}\Bigg(\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d,\ t\geqslant r}\Bigg(\int_{t-r}^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(t-s)^{-(d+1)/2}e^{-C_1\frac{|x-y|^2}{t-s}}|b(s,y)|dyds + \sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^d,\ s\geqslant 0}\left(\int_s^{s+r}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(t-s)^{-(d+1)/2}e^{-C_2\frac{|x-y|^2}{t-s}}|b(t,x)|dxdt\right)=0,$$

Appendix B.

Proof of (13). Let us show that there is h in (10) such that $\|v_m(\tau,s,\cdot)\|_p\leqslant\|f\|_p$, $(s,\tau)\in D$, for all m. Then by (11) $\|u_m(\tau,s,\cdot)\|_p\leqslant C\|f\|_p$, and (13) follows by the weak relative compactness in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Without loss of generality $f\geqslant 0$, so $v_m\geqslant 0$. Multiply (10) by v^{p-1} and integrate to get

$$R:=\int_s^\tau\langle v_m^{p-1},\partial_t v_m\rangle dt = \int_s^\tau\langle v_m^{p-1},\Delta v_m\rangle dt + \int_s^\tau\langle v_m^{p-1},b_m\cdot\nabla v\rangle dt + \int_s^\tau h'(t)\langle v_m^p\rangle dt$$
$$=: R_1+R_2+R_3.$$

We have

$$R=\frac1p\langle v_m^p(\tau,s,\cdot)\rangle-\frac1p\langle f^p\rangle, \quad R_1=-(p-1)\frac{4}{p^2}\int_s^\tau\langle(\nabla v_m^{\frac p2})^2\rangle dt,$$

and, using elementary inequality $ab\leqslant\eta a^2+\frac{1}{4\eta}b^2$ $(\eta>0)$ and condition $(\mathbf{C}_2)$,

$$R_2=\frac2p\int_s^\tau\langle v_m^{\frac p2},b_m\cdot\nabla v_m^{\frac p2}\rangle dt\leqslant\frac2p\eta\int_s^\tau\langle(\nabla v_m^{\frac p2})^2\rangle dt+\frac{1}{2p\eta}\left(\beta\int_s^\tau\langle(\nabla v_m^{\frac p2})^2\rangle dt+\int_s^\tau\langle g(t)v_m^p\rangle dt\right).$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{p}\langle v_m^p(\tau,s,\cdot)\rangle + \left(\frac{4(p-1)}{p^2} - \frac{2}{p}\eta - \frac{\beta}{2p\eta}\right)\int_s^\tau \langle (\nabla v_m^{\frac{p}{2}})^2\rangle dt \\ \leqslant \frac{1}{p}\langle f^p\rangle + \int_s^\tau \left(h'(t) + \frac{\beta}{2p\eta}g(t)\right)\langle v_m^p\rangle dt$$

The maximum of $\eta \mapsto \frac{4(p-1)}{p^2} - \frac{2}{p}\eta - \frac{\beta}{2p\eta}$, attained at $\eta_* = \sqrt{\beta/4}$, is positive if and only if $p > (1-\sqrt{\beta/4})^{-1}$. Set $\eta = \eta_*$, $h(t) := -\frac{\beta}{2p\eta_*}\int_0^t g(\theta)d\theta$ to obtain $\langle v_m^p(\tau,s,\cdot)\rangle \leqslant \langle f^p\rangle$, i.e. (13). □

References

[BFGM] L. Beck, F. Flandoli, M. Gubinelli, M. Maurelli, Stochastic ODEs and stochastic linear PDEs with critical drift: regularity, duality and uniqueness. *Preprint, arXiv:1401.1530* (2014), 59 p.

[BKR] V. I. Bogachev, N. V. Krylov, M. Röckner. On regularity of transition probabilities and invariant measures of singular diffusions and minimal conditions. *Comm. Partial Differ. Equ.*, 26 (2001), p.2037-2080.

[BG] B. Baur, M. Grothaus. Construction and strong Feller property of distorted elliptic diffusion with reflecting boundary. *Potential Anal.*, 40 (2014), p. 391-425.

[EG] L. C. Evans, R. F. Gariepy. Measure Theory and Fine Properties of Functions. *CRC Press*, 1992.

[GM] N. Ghoussoub, A. Moradifam. On the best possible remaining term in the Hardy inequality. *PNAS*, 105 (2008), p. 13746-13751.

[GvC] A. Gulisashvili and J. A. van Casteren. Non-autonomous Kato Classes and Feynman-Kac Propagators. *World Scientific*, 2006.

[KPS] V.F. Kovalenko, M.A. Perelmuter, and Yu.A. Semenov. Schrödinger operators with $L_W^{1/2}(R^l)$-potentials. *J. Math. Phys.*, 22, 1981, p. 1033-1044.

[KS] V. F. Kovalenko and Yu. A. Semenov. C_0-semigroups in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ spaces generated by differential expression $\Delta + b\nabla$. *Theory Probab. Appl.*, 35 (1990), p. 443-453.

[KR] N. V. Krylov and M. Röckner. Strong solutions of stochastic equations with singular time dependent drift. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 131 (2005), p. 154-196.

[LSS] V. Liskevich, I. Skrypnik and Z. Sobol. Gradient estimates for degenerate quasi-linear parabolic equations. *J. London Math. Soc.*, 84 (2011), p. 446-474.

[Se] Yu.A. Semenov. Regularity theorems for parabolic equations. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 231 (2005), p. 375-417.

[Se2] Yu.A. Semenov. On perturbation theory for linear elliptic and parabolic operators; the method of Nash. *Contemp. Math.*, 221 (1999), p. 217-284.

[Z] Q.S. Zhang. Gaussian bounds for the fundamental solutions of $\nabla(A\nabla u) + B\nabla u - u_t = 0$. *Manuscripta Math.*, 93 (1997), p. 381-390.

[Z2] Q.S. Zhang. A strong regularity result for parabolic equations. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 244 (2004), p. 245-260.

The Fields Institute, Toronto, ON, Canada

E-mail address: damir.kinzebulatov@utoronto.ca